

Lectures 08-09:  
Paper overviews

**PPHA 34600**  
Prof. Fiona Burlig

Harris School of Public Policy  
University of Chicago

### TL;DR:

- 1 Instrumental variables are very powerful
- 2 ...but they require extremely strong assumptions!
- 3 Hashtag no free lunch

# An example: Health impacts of air pollution

## Policy issue:

- Pollution is probably bad...
- ...but *how* bad, exactly?
- What role do airports play in pollution?

## Approach:

- (We're not actually evaluating a program here)
- We need a shock to air pollution conditions
- We don't have randomization, so we use IV
- Instrument of choice: flight delays on the East Coast
- Do we believe this? Hold that thought...

# Estimating treatment effects of pollution on health

How does pollution affect hospitalizations (simplified)?

**First stage:**

$$\text{Pollution in CA}_{it} = \alpha + \gamma \text{Taxi times}_{kt} + \beta X_{it} + \eta_{it}$$

where

Pollution in  $\text{CA}_{it}$  is pollution at CA airport  $i$  in time  $t$

Taxi times $_{kt}$  is the taxi time at non-CA airport  $k$

$X_{it}$  are controls

$\eta_{it}$  is an error term

# First stage (tabular form)

Variable	CO Pollution			NO <sub>2</sub> Pollution			O <sub>3</sub> Pollution		
	(1a)	(1b)	(1c)	(2a)	(2b)	(2c)	(3a)	(3b)	(3c)
Taxi Time	40.37*** (4.83)	56.16*** (9.61)	49.44*** (8.79)	0.51*** (0.09)	0.65*** (0.16)	0.76*** (0.17)	-0.07 (0.09)	0.04 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.16)
Taxi x Distance		-2.23* (1.23)	-1.82 (1.13)		-0.02 (0.02)	-0.03 (0.02)		-0.02* (0.01)	0.01 (0.02)
Taxi x Angle <sub>u</sub>			15.28*** (5.75)			0.30 (0.19)			-0.43** (0.17)
Taxi x Angle <sub>d</sub>			1.07 (5.38)			-0.02 (0.13)			0.12 (0.09)
Taxi x Speed			-0.50 (1.27)			-0.06** (0.03)			0.09** (0.04)
Taxi x Distance x Angle <sub>u</sub>			-1.27 (0.79)			-0.02 (0.03)			0.05** (0.02)
Taxi x Distance x Angle <sub>d</sub>			0.26 (0.66)			0.00 (0.02)			-0.01 (0.01)
Taxi x Distance x Speed			0.19 (0.15)			0.00 (0.00)			-0.01* (0.01)
Taxi x Angle <sub>d</sub> x Speed			1.03 (1.65)			0.04 (0.03)			-0.09* (0.05)
Taxi x Angle <sub>u</sub> x Speed			-9.65*** (2.37)			-0.17*** (0.06)			0.23*** (0.08)
Taxi x Dist. x Angle <sub>u</sub> x Speed			1.29*** (0.32)			0.02** (0.01)			-0.03*** (0.01)
Taxi x Dist. x Angle <sub>d</sub> x Speed			-0.34 (0.21)			-0.00 (0.00)			0.01 (0.01)
Observations	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580
Zip Codes	164	164	164	164	164	164	164	164	164
Days	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095
F-stat(joint sig.)	69.29	38.23	12.39	33.13	16.85	6.00	0.65	2.11	1.13
p-value (joint sig.)	3.26e-14	2.43e-14	1.09e-17	4.17e-08	2.23e-07	1.25e-08	.4223	.1251	.3373

# Estimating treatment effects of pollution on health

How does pollution affect hospitalizations (simplified)?

**First stage:**

$$\text{Pollution in CA}_{it} = \alpha + \gamma \text{Taxi times}_{kt} + \beta X_{it} + \eta_{it}$$

where

Pollution in  $\text{CA}_{it}$  is pollution at CA airport  $i$  in time  $t$

Taxi times $_{kt}$  is the taxi time at non-CA airport  $k$

$X_{it}$  are controls

$\eta_{it}$  is an error term

**Second stage:**

$$\text{Health}_{it} = \alpha + \delta \widehat{\text{pollution}}_{it} + \tau X_{it} + \eta_{it}$$

where

$\widehat{\text{pollution}}_{it}$  is the fitted values from the first stage

## Second stage (OLS)

	Asthma (1a)	Acute Respiratory (1b)	All Respiratory (1c)	All Heart (2)	Stroke (3)	Bone Fractures (4)	Appen- dicitis (5)
<b>Panel A: CO Pollution - All Ages</b>							
No Controls	0.070*** (0.017)	0.265*** (0.041)	0.353*** (0.053)	0.035 (0.028)	-0.002 (0.006)	-0.022*** (0.007)	-0.001 (0.001)
Time Controls	0.030 (0.024)	0.058 (0.057)	0.070 (0.075)	-0.022 (0.040)	-0.014* (0.008)	-0.008 (0.010)	0.001 (0.001)
Time + Weather	0.070** (0.029)	0.071 (0.070)	0.097 (0.094)	0.004 (0.054)	-0.004 (0.010)	-0.010 (0.012)	-0.001 (0.001)
Time + Weather + Zip Code FE	0.011 (0.007)	0.049*** (0.019)	0.078*** (0.023)	0.030*** (0.008)	-0.000 (0.003)	-0.006 (0.004)	0.002* (0.001)
<b>Panel B: NO<sub>2</sub> Pollution - All Ages</b>							
No Controls	3.1*** (0.5)	10.7*** (1.3)	14.6*** (1.7)	4.3*** (1.1)	0.6*** (0.2)	-0.3 (0.2)	0.1** (0.0)
Time Controls	1.7** (0.7)	6.0*** (1.5)	7.9*** (2.1)	1.0 (1.4)	-0.1 (0.3)	0.6* (0.3)	0.1** (0.0)
Time + Weather	4.6*** (1.1)	9.0*** (2.7)	12.3*** (3.8)	3.2 (2.5)	0.8* (0.5)	0.9* (0.5)	0.0 (0.1)
Time + Weather + Zip Code FE	0.1 (0.2)	1.1* (0.6)	2.4*** (0.8)	1.1*** (0.3)	0.1 (0.1)	0.0 (0.2)	0.1** (0.0)

## Second stage (IV)

	Asthma (1a)	Acute Respiratory (1b)	All Respiratory (1c)	Heart Problems (2)	Stroke (3)	Bone Fractures (4)	Appen- dicitis (5)
<b>Panel A: All Ages</b>							
Model 1: CO	0.341*** (0.072)	0.607*** (0.179)	0.828*** (0.230)	0.475*** (0.148)	0.059 (0.042)	-0.031 (0.069)	0.007 (0.016)
Model 2: CO	0.330*** (0.066)	0.592*** (0.179)	0.812*** (0.234)	0.444*** (0.137)	0.048 (0.040)	-0.032 (0.070)	0.002 (0.016)
Model 3: CO	0.203*** (0.049)	0.415*** (0.130)	0.534*** (0.172)	0.233*** (0.082)	0.020 (0.031)	-0.041 (0.042)	0.003 (0.011)
Model 1: NO <sub>2</sub>	29.2*** (8.0)	52.0** (20.7)	70.9*** (26.4)	40.7*** (13.1)	5.1 (3.7)	-2.7 (6.1)	0.6 (1.4)
Model 2: NO <sub>2</sub>	28.7*** (7.8)	51.3** (20.6)	70.3*** (26.6)	39.0*** (12.9)	4.4 (3.6)	-2.7 (6.3)	0.3 (1.4)
Model 3: NO <sub>2</sub>	11.9*** (4.0)	16.2 (10.5)	19.4 (13.7)	16.0** (7.2)	0.6 (2.2)	-0.8 (2.9)	0.5 (0.9)



## Second stage (IV): Vulnerable populations

Panel B: Ages Below 5							
Model 1: CO	0.606** (0.262)	2.137* (1.232)	2.956** (1.485)	0.166* (0.088)	0.019 (0.023)	0.047 (0.147)	-0.009 (0.035)
Model 2: CO	0.621** (0.252)	2.095* (1.202)	2.846* (1.476)	0.124 (0.082)	0.021 (0.025)	0.069 (0.141)	-0.019 (0.038)
Model 3: CO	0.727*** (0.173)	2.300*** (0.800)	2.639*** (0.990)	0.076 (0.058)	0.023 (0.015)	-0.030 (0.126)	-0.009 (0.023)
Model 1: NO <sub>2</sub>	48.8* (25.0)	172.0 (115.8)	237.9* (143.5)	13.3* (7.5)	1.5 (1.9)	3.8 (11.7)	-0.7 (2.8)
Model 2: NO <sub>2</sub>	50.0** (24.2)	168.9 (113.0)	229.5 (142.3)	10.1 (7.1)	1.7 (2.1)	5.5 (11.1)	-1.5 (3.0)
Model 3: NO <sub>2</sub>	47.9*** (14.8)	116.9* (64.9)	132.1* (78.9)	4.6 (4.7)	2.8** (1.2)	1.6 (9.6)	0.8 (2.1)
Panel C: Ages 65 and Older							
Model 1: CO	0.930*** (0.341)	1.620*** (0.485)	2.523*** (0.710)	3.888*** (1.098)	0.551* (0.321)	0.478* (0.262)	0.019 (0.030)
Model 2: CO	0.864*** (0.298)	1.505*** (0.451)	2.423*** (0.695)	3.700*** (1.035)	0.503 (0.326)	0.417 (0.260)	0.017 (0.030)
Model 3: CO	0.529** (0.213)	0.734** (0.326)	1.496*** (0.545)	2.011*** (0.642)	0.187 (0.259)	0.182 (0.169)	-0.031 (0.028)
Model 1: NO <sub>2</sub>	78.0*** (26.8)	135.9*** (41.9)	211.6*** (65.5)	326.1*** (93.2)	46.2 (28.5)	40.1* (21.4)	1.6 (2.6)
Model 2: NO <sub>2</sub>	77.9*** (26.8)	135.6*** (42.0)	211.5*** (65.7)	326.0*** (93.4)	46.1 (28.5)	39.9* (21.4)	1.6 (2.6)
Model 3: NO <sub>2</sub>	35.3** (14.4)	35.4 (24.3)	66.2 (41.7)	122.8*** (47.7)	0.9 (16.1)	9.5 (12.1)	-1.3 (1.8)

# Estimating the reduced form

How does taxi time affect health (simplified)?

**Reduced form:**

$$\text{Health}_{it} = \alpha + \theta \text{Taxi time}_{it} + \pi X_{it} + \eta_i$$

# Reduced form

	Asthma (1a)	Acute Respiratory (1b)	All Respiratory (1c)	All Heart (2)	Stroke (3)	Bone Fractures (4)	Appen- dicitis (5)
<b>Panel A: All Ages</b>							
Taxi Time	14.03*** (2.74)	24.98*** (7.88)	34.07*** (10.03)	19.54*** (5.24)	2.44 (1.71)	-1.28 (2.89)	0.27 (0.68)
<b>Panel B: Ages Below 5</b>							
Taxi Time	24.27** (11.31)	85.57 (52.12)	118.38* (63.47)	6.63* (3.49)	0.75 (0.95)	1.88 (5.83)	-0.35 (1.39)
<b>Panel C: Age 65 and Above</b>							
Taxi Time	37.51*** (11.45)	65.34*** (16.46)	101.73*** (25.31)	156.77*** (36.96)	22.22* (12.99)	19.28* (9.89)	0.78 (1.22)
Observations	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580	179580
Zip Codes	164	164	164	164	164	164	164
Days	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095	1095

# The exclusion restriction is the key to any IV

You should always ask:  
What is the exclusion restriction in this analysis saying?

# The exclusion restriction is the key to any IV

You should always ask:  
What is the exclusion restriction in this analysis saying?

**Do we believe this? Why or why not?**

## Second stage (IV)

	Asthma (1a)	Acute Respiratory (1b)	All Respiratory (1c)	Heart Problems (2)	Stroke (3)	Bone Fractures (4)	Appen- dicitis (5)
<b>Panel A: All Ages</b>							
Model 1: CO	0.341*** (0.072)	0.607*** (0.179)	0.828*** (0.230)	0.475*** (0.148)	0.059 (0.042)	-0.031 (0.069)	0.007 (0.016)
Model 2: CO	0.330*** (0.066)	0.592*** (0.179)	0.812*** (0.234)	0.444*** (0.137)	0.048 (0.040)	-0.032 (0.070)	0.002 (0.016)
Model 3: CO	0.203*** (0.049)	0.415*** (0.130)	0.534*** (0.172)	0.233*** (0.082)	0.020 (0.031)	-0.041 (0.042)	0.003 (0.011)
Model 1: NO <sub>2</sub>	29.2*** (8.0)	52.0** (20.7)	70.9*** (26.4)	40.7*** (13.1)	5.1 (3.7)	-2.7 (6.1)	0.6 (1.4)
Model 2: NO <sub>2</sub>	28.7*** (7.8)	51.3** (20.6)	70.3*** (26.6)	39.0*** (12.9)	4.4 (3.6)	-2.7 (6.3)	0.3 (1.4)
Model 3: NO <sub>2</sub>	11.9*** (4.0)	16.2 (10.5)	19.4 (13.7)	16.0** (7.2)	0.6 (2.2)	-0.8 (2.9)	0.5 (0.9)

## TL;DR:

- ① Instrumental variables are very powerful
- ② With the right assumptions...
- ③ ...we can handle OVB and ME (and simultaneity)

# An example: Early-life rainfall and health

## Policy issue:

- Early-life shocks may be very important
- With bad harvests, kids may not get the proper nutrition

## Approach:

- (We're not actually evaluating a program here)
- We want to estimate the effect of rainfall on health
- **Measurement of rainfall is poor in Indonesia**
- Instrument of choice: rainfall at weather stations  $j \neq i$



# Estimating the effects of rainfall on health

The authors will run a (simplified) version of:

$$Y_i = \tau \text{Rainfall}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

Where:

$Y_i$  is a health outcome of interest

$\text{Rainfall}_i$  is rain in location  $i$

- (They'll actually do this in a series of lags)

$\varepsilon_i$  is an error term

# Estimating the effects of rainfall on health

The authors will run a (simplified) version of:

$$Y_i = \tau \text{Rainfall}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

Where:

$Y_i$  is a health outcome of interest

$\text{Rainfall}_i$  is rain in location  $i$

- (They'll actually do this in a series of lags)

$\varepsilon_i$  is an error term

A big concern

- $\text{Rainfall}_i$  is measured with error
- We are likely to understate the true effect
- **Solution:**  $Z_i = \text{Rainfall Nearby}_i!$

# First stage estimates

Dependent variable: Rainfall in birthyear and birthdistrict (deviation of log rainfall in birth district from log of 1953-1999 district mean rainfall)

	<u>Women</u>	<u>Men</u>
Birthyear/birthdistrict rainfall, 2nd-closest station	0.138 (0.024)***	0.120 (0.023)***
Birthyear/birthdistrict rainfall, 3rd-closest station	0.144 (0.039)***	0.158 (0.035)***
Birthyear/birthdistrict rainfall, 4th-closest station	0.088 (0.053)	0.081 (0.044)*
Birthyear/birthdistrict rainfall, 5th-closest station	0.125 (0.025)***	0.158 (0.039)***
Number of observations	4,615	4,277
R-squared	0.59	0.59
F-statistic: Joint significance of all four rainfall variables	31.61	28.80
P-value	0.000	0.000

# 2SLS estimates

TABLE 2—EFFECT OF BIRTH YEAR RAINFALL ON ADULT OUTCOMES: WOMEN AND MEN BORN 1953–1974  
*(Instrumental variables estimates. Coefficients (standard errors) in regression of outcome on rainfall in individual's birth year and birth district. Instrumental variables for birth year/birth district rainfall are rainfall measured at second- through fifth-closest rainfall stations to respondent's birth district.)*

	Women	Men
Self-reported health status very good (indicator)	0.101 (0.058)* [4,613]	-0.029 (0.072) [4,270]
Self-reported health status poor/very poor (indicator)	-0.192 (0.082)** [4,613]	-0.100 (0.098) [4,270]
Ln (lung capacity)	-0.044 (0.049) [4,454]	-0.073 (0.062) [3,907]
Height (centimeters)	2.832 (0.821)*** [4,495]	0.998 (1.795) [3,924]
Days absent due to illness (last four weeks)	-1.175 (0.831) [4,611]	0.515 (0.779) [4,267]
Completed grades of schooling	1.086 (0.453)** [4,598]	-0.474 (1.490) [4,259]
Ln (expenditures per capita in household)	0.095 (0.204) [4,615]	-0.274 (0.301) [4,277]
Asset index	0.876 (0.324)** [4,613]	-0.279 (0.507) [4,276]
Ln (annual earnings)	0.065 (0.988) [2,332]	-0.202 (0.350) [3,963]

# 2SLS estimates

TABLE 3—EFFECT OF RAINFALL IN YEARS BEFORE AND AFTER BIRTH: WOMEN BORN 1953–1974  
(Instrumental variables estimates. Rainfall in individual's birth year and birth district instrumented with rainfall measured at second- through fifth-closest rainfall stations to respondent's birth district.)

Dependent variable	Self-reported health status very good (indicator)	Self-reported health status poor/very poor (indicator)	Height (centimeters)	Completed grades of schooling	Asset index
Coefficient on rainfall in:					
Year -3	0.025 (0.084)	-0.114 (0.120)	1.505 (1.572)	-0.065 (0.992)	0.003 (0.424)
Year -2	-0.037 (0.103)	-0.013 (0.075)	0.854 (1.813)	-0.852 (1.670)	-0.426 (0.721)
Year -1	-0.080 (0.123)	-0.045 (0.088)	3.338 (2.155)	0.104 (1.332)	-0.380 (0.530)
Year 0	0.090 (0.067)	-0.179 (0.093)*	3.833 (1.420)**	1.598 (0.675)**	0.750 (0.399)*
Year 1	-0.008 (0.053)	-0.096 (0.067)	0.676 (1.592)	1.083 (0.769)	0.203 (0.272)
Year 2	-0.041 (0.043)	-0.015 (0.068)	1.666 (0.984)	0.117 (0.840)	-0.229 (0.452)
Year 3	-0.020 (0.116)	-0.104 (0.067)	1.996 (1.774)	-0.135 (0.802)	0.088 (0.232)
Observations	4,613	4,613	4,495	4,598	4,613